UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,975	12/15/2003	Nils Zander	TRAUMA 3.0-449	4263
	7590 12/16/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	8	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		RAMANA, ANURADHA	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/735,975	ZANDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anu Ramana	3775				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <i>04 August 2008.</i>					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ This action is non-final.					
·—	· 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,4,11,15,16,18-22,25 and 26	is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,22,25 and 26</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,11,15,16 and 18-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
· · <u> </u>	vaminer					
·	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,—	the Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action of Torman	0 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview : 948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/735,975

Art Unit: 3775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 16 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the recitation in lines 13-16 renders the claim vague and indefinite since it is unclear whether the "second end" being referred to is the second end of the sleeve.

In claim 16, the recitations in lines 17-18, namely, "the screw head" and "including a head" renders the claim vague and indefinite since it is unclear whether the second recitation of "a head" is referring to the first recitation or is a separate head.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bramlet et al. (6,648,889).

Bramlet et al. disclose a locking nail 1 including: at least one cross bore extending along an axis transverse to a longitudinal axis of the shank; a headed locking screw 6; and a biasing sleeve 3 with a radial flange or edge or rim against which the head of locking screw 6 comes to bear (Figs. 13-15, col. 7, lines 19-67, col. 8 and col. 9, lines 1-51). It is noted that sleeve 3 is capable of axially deforming due to the presence of slots 9.

Regarding claim 3, Bramlet et al. disclose locking screw to engage with "a thread of a cross bore," namely, the internal threaded portion of lag screw 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,975

Art Unit: 3775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sutter et al. (US 4,388,921) in view of Mortensen (US 3,942,407).

Sutter et al. disclose an implant having a long narrow part or shank 7c; a bone screw 9 with a head 9b; and a biasing element or sleeve or washer 11 wherein the biasing element is received in a bore of the implant and the screw head engages a first end of the biasing element (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 18-68 and col. 5, lines 1-24).

Sutter et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except for a washer having slots that extend through an angle more than 180 degrees.

Mortensen teaches a type of screw anchoring device or sleeve having slots or slits that are axial, helical or inclined with respect to the longitudinal axis of the sleeve for a tighter engagement to prevent unscrewing of the screw (Figs. 11 and 12, col. 2, lines 14-68, col. 3 and col. 4, lines 1-22).

The substitution of one known type of washer (as taught by Mortensen) for another known washer (as disclosed by Sutter et al.) would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since this amounts to simple substitution of one known type of washer for another and would have yielded predictable results, namely, positive engagement of the prosthesis with underlying bone.

Regarding the limitations, "an angle more than 180 degrees" and "offset by about 90 degrees," it would have been a matter of obvious design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide slots inclined and offset at the stated

Art Unit: 3775

angles because Applicant has not disclosed that providing these angles provides an advantage. It would have been prima facie obvious to modify the device of the combination of Sutter et al. and Mortensen to obtain the claimed invention because such a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art Sutter et al. and Mortensen.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 22, 25, and 26 are allowed.

Claims 16 and 18-21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 3775

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR December 12, 2008

/Anu Ramana/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3775